Ca	te 1:06-cv-00301-MPT Document 87 Filed 05/27/2008 Page 1 of 17
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE
2	
3	STEPHANIE LYNN FORD,
4	: CIVIL ACTION Plaintiff, :
5	: v
	:
6	CHRISTIANA CARE HEALTH SYSTEMS, : MR. RICHARD BURTON, and :
7	MRS. CLARA CLARK, :
8	: NO. 06-301 (***) Defendants.
9	Wilmington, Delaware
10	Friday, March 9, 2007 at 9:02 a.m.
11	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE
12	
12	BEFORE: HONORABLE MARY PAT THYNGE, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
13	
14	APPEARANCES:
15	
	STEPHANIE LYNN FORD
16	Pro Se Plaintiff
17	
18	MORRIS JAMES, LLP
19	BY: JAMES H. McMACKIN, III, ESQ.
	and
20	MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS
21	BY: THOMAS S. BLOOM, ESQ.
22	(Philadelphia, Pennsylvania)
	Counsel for Defendants
23	
24	Design D. G. EE!
25	Brian P. Gaffigan Registered Merit Reporter

1 - 000 -2 PROCEEDINGS 3 (REPORTER'S NOTE: The following telephone conference was held in chambers, beginning at 9:02 a.m.) 4 5 THE COURT: Good morning, this is Judge Thynge. MS. FORD: Good morning, Your Honor. 6 7 MR. BLOOM: Good morning. 8 THE COURT: And I have who on the line, please? 9 MS. FORD: Stephanie Ford. 10 MR. BLOOM: And Tom Bloom from Morgan Lewis and 11 Jim McMackin from Morris James for Christiana Care. 12 MR. McMACKIN: Good morning, Your Honor. THE COURT: Good morning. The purpose of this 13 14 call, counsel, and Ms. Ford was because I wanted to explain to you what is happening with the vacant judgeship. As you 15 know, Judge Jordan was elevated to the Third Circuit. All 16 17 of his cases and all the new cases he would have received 18 are going into the vacant judgeship and I am essentially base-tending those cases. 19 20 I know that we had a mediation in this case, I 21 believe, or a case that was similar to that because I remember meeting you, Ms. Ford, and I think your father was 22 23 there for the mediation. 24 MS. FORD: No, Your Honor. That wasn't my I

father. My father passed on. That was my uncle.

25

THE COURT: Your uncle, I apologize.

MS. FORD: That's all right.

THE COURT: In cases in which the parties have not consented to my jurisdiction, I have been trying to keep in Judge Jordan's scheduling order to the extent there is any scheduling order left. What will happen is the cases will continue moving along up to, and including, the time of filing of case dispositive motions, and then those case dispositive motions will probably sit and probably the pretrial and trial matters related to that will be cancelled. Those will be dependent upon either a District Court Judge on this bench deciding those motions or the new judge that will be replacing Judge Jordan deciding those motions.

It really doesn't make any sense, at least that is the approach the court is taking at present, for me to decide case dispositive motions because that essentially allows a second bite at the apple and that means not only myself as a judge deciding the issue but if somebody is dissatisfied with my ruling, having a District Court Judge then review my ruling and do a de novo review. So at present, this is how we're operating, and that might in essence be dependent on how long it takes for us to get a replacement for Judge Jordan.

Now, I know there was a scheduling order in place in this case. Let me just see. Did Judge Jordan --

1 yes, he decided consolidating cases but the last thing I 2 have noted on the docket entries was the fact that you 3 received notification that the case was now in my bailiwick. 4 Judge, this is Tom Bloom. Case MR. BLOOM: 5 dispositive motion is pending. Okay. Is there one pending? 6 THE COURT: 7 MR. BLOOM: Yes, we filed a motion for summary 8 judgment. The consolidation is just that there were two 9 identical complaints filed, one in your court and one in 10 state court. And we removed the state court action and 11 consolidated them. And I would only add, Your Honor, I 12 don't know what Ms. Ford's feelings are on the matter but 13 Christiana Care would consent to jurisdiction before Your 14 Honor for purposes of disposition on the merits in the interest of getting the motion decided and if it's denied, 15 16 just moving forward with disposition. 17 THE COURT: Okay. MR. BLOOM: And I don't know if that works with 18 19 Your Honor's caseload but I just wanted to raise that. 20 THE COURT: When you say Christiana Care, does 21 that include Richard Burton and Clara Clark? 22 MR. BLOOM: Yes. THE COURT: So "defendants" would. 23 2.4 MR. BLOOM: Yes. 25 THE COURT: All right. And I'm not asking for a

decision from you, Ms. Ford, but if the parties do agree to consent to my jurisdiction, they would have to file a stipulation and the stipulation would be consistent with the type of indication of consent to my jurisdiction, the type of order that is presently passed out at the beginning of the case that comes from the Clerk's Office. It's very similar to that. You just do a stipulation in that regard and then a District Court Judge would sign-off on it.

MS. FORD: Oh, okay.

THE COURT: Okay? But again, I want you to understand, Ms. Ford, I want both sides to understand that even if the parties do consent to my jurisdiction, there is no way you are keeping the trial dates as it is now in light of what has happened to my schedule. I probably would cancel the pretrial and trial dates and not reschedule them until after I get the motions decided, the outstanding motions decided.

MS. FORD: That's fine with me, Your Honor. Whatever you decide to do is fine with me.

THE COURT: Well, you may not agree with that comment later on, Ms. Ford.

MS. FORD: Well, I mean I understand it's going to be awhile because I was reading the papers that Jordan did get appointed the job at the Third Circuit. I did find that out. So whatever works for your schedule, Your Honor,

- is fine with me. We came this long, but later on down the road, it's not even going to matter. I'm willing to accommodate me.

THE COURT: Well, I'm willing to. And there is a couple things that I wanted to touch base with you, Ms. Ford, because I noticed that you filed a motion to compel. I mean there has been a number of motions that I have seen come flying across my desk in this case.

MS. FORD: I planned on filing the motion today, it's a motion for summary judgment.

THE COURT: That's fine, that's fine, but there have been two motions to compel that have been filed by you that I'm aware of.

MS. FORD: Yes.

THE COURT: And you know what? I may have the wrong case.

MS. FORD: No, you have the right one, Your Honor, because I filed two for the both of them.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. FORD: Not responding to the documents that I've been requesting from them. UNUM and Christiana Care, they're not responding. I asked for those documents a long time ago and they're not sending them to me. They're sending me everything but what I asked for.

THE COURT: Let's, just to try to cut through

1 this, and there may be two motions that were filed in the 2 UNUM life insurance case and one motion to compel, I believe, filed in this case, I think. 3 4 MR. BLOOM: That's my understanding, Your Honor. 5 Just one motion in this case. 6 THE COURT: Yes, I saw that. It was filed, your 7 opposition I think was filed on December 22nd. I'm trying 8 to find when the motion was filed and I've gone back, trying 9 to look at that. In any event, what is your concern? What 10 document, specific documents, Ms. Ford, are you looking for 11 that you're saying that Christiana Care or these defendants 12 are not providing to you? 13 MS. FORD: Well, I asked them, Your Honor, for 14 my pension information and life insurance policy and I don't 15 have the paperwork right here but I'm sure they know which 16 documents that I need. They have not sent that to me. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MS. FORD: Ms. Bassenger (phonetic) did send me my pension information that they terminated. Your Honor, 19 20 I'd like to know why was the pension terminated. 21 THE COURT: Okay. That's not a document 22 request. Do you understand there is a difference to that, a 23 document request?

I'm sorry?

THE COURT: When you are asking for a production

MS. FORD:

24

25

of documents, you are asking for them to produce or give you documents. For example, a copy of the insurance policy or a copy of what your pension information was. If you are asking why your pension was terminated, that's an interrogatory. Now, have you asked them in an interrogatory form as to why your pension was terminated?

MS. FORD: No, Your Honor. If they would send me all the documents for my pension, it would be on there. I mean they sent me one paper which I'm going to be filing with you in court and they filed it also that it was terminated, the pension and retirement was terminated, and they're not saying why. Is there a certain separate motion that I'm supposed to file?

THE COURT: No, no. Really, you are not supposed to be filing a motion because under Judge Jordan's schedule the schedule I keep, you are supposed to file no more than a two or three page letter outlining what you feel that you have not received from them and that's what I was trying to address today, to see if we could cut through this so you don't have to keep filing any more paper.

MS. FORD: Well, Your Honor, I asked them for the motion. I was told to file a motion to compel, to request the documents that I like to get from them.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. FORD: That's the reason why two of them was

filed.

THE COURT: Let me back up a little bit. That is what I was trying to explain to you. When you ask for documents, that is one thing. When you were asking the question why your pension was terminated, that's an interrogatory. That's not a request for production, it's a different animal -- that's all I'm saying -- a different discovery tool. And are you saying that you received the pension information, that is, you received documents that show what your pension was earning and showing what, when it was stopped and terminated? Is that correct?

MS. FORD: I received two sheets of paper from them. In fact, I want to be filing them today with the court, two pieces of paper when I asked about my pension that I received from them.

THE COURT: Okay. And what did that tell you?

MS. FORD: The person who terminated and the person who received it.

THE COURT: Okay. So you got that information then?

MS. FORD: Well, Your Honor, I don't think two sheets of piece of paper is my whole pension. Now I'm sure you know that yourself, Your Honor. Two pieces of paper, I've been working there 17 years, two pieces of paper is my pension.

THE COURT: Well, if it explains to you, Ms. Ford, how much was in your pension at the time you ended, then that gives you the information. What other pension information are you looking for?

MS. FORD: Well, I'll tell you what, Your Honor. I'll give you a copy of it today along with Mr. Bloom and them to find out if this is what I'm supposed to get because I really don't know, to be honest with you. I asked for all my information. I didn't think it was going to be two sheets of paper.

MR. BLOOM: I'll just add, Your Honor, what

Ms. Ford is referring to I think has already been filed

twice, once by each side, but I just wanted to note that.

Ms. Ford filed the document she is referring to with her

motion to compel, I believe, and we filed it with our

summary judgment motion and it's the document that sets

forth Ms. Ford's pension. It wasn't terminated, per se,

it's vested and payments will begin when she reaches

retirement age and the document she received sets forth the

date payments will start and what the amount is and what the

status of her pension rights are and that is what we

produced.

THE COURT: Okay. What about the life insurance policy? I know that in both cases, she has made the request for that.

MR. BLOOM: I have to go back and check. We produced all the benefits information that we have. And my understanding, and Ms. Ford will correct me, I'm sure, if I'm wrong, but she was sent information at the time of her termination and we produced it again in this lawsuit about converting any line insurance that she had at the end of her employment and she elected not to do that.

THE COURT: I think she is actually looking for a copy of the life insurance policy. And was this life insurance policy a life insurance policy she would have had with UNUM or would it have been Christiana had it and she would have been paying into it? Do you understand the difference, what I'm trying to say?

MR. BLOOM: Yes, she was not paying into it.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BLOOM: And I have not looked at the file recently. I mean I know when we got -- there was some back and forth with another associate here at Morgan Lewis and Ms. Ford and I think we did our best to gather up everything she wanted. I'll have to check on the line insurance policy but since it's something she specifically requested, I'm fairly confident that we tracked it down and turned it over.

THE COURT: Okay. And is there anything else that you are looking for, Ms. Ford, that is a document -- do you understand what I'm saying? -- different from the whys,

which you probably have to ask a person, but is a document you are looking for?

MS. FORD: Your Honor, like I said, I don't have the motion to compel in front of me but I'll have to get it to Your Honor to find out which ones they are because I requested the reason. You said there is a separate motion that you have to file as to why it was terminated.

THE COURT: No, no. Listen to me. You have to ask a separate question as to why it was terminated. What I'm looking for when you show me your motion to compel, you are saying please provide the \$75,000 life insurance policy, please provide the long term insurance benefit contract. To the extent that they have provided you documents that represent those, then I would have to sit there and say they met what you asked. If you want to know why it was terminated, then you ask that question and that is called an interrogatory, okay?

MS. FORD: Okay.

THE COURT: That's what I'm saying the difference is because what I'm looking at when you made the document request, you asked for the documents. If they produced the documents or whatever they had that would be evidence of those documents, then they may have met their burden of what they're supposed to do.

MS. FORD: Well, I don't think they have done

that.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. FORD: They have not done that.

THE COURT: That may be so, but if you are saying you want to know the why of something, I'm just trying to explain to you, Ms. Ford, then you've just got to do and interrogatory that asks why was it terminated, why was this, that type of thing. That's all I'm saying.

MS. FORD: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: That's all I'm trying to do in helping you on that point. That's all.

MS. FORD: Thank you.

THE COURT: If the parties are going to consent to my jurisdiction, then I would ask that a stipulation be filed with the court with no later than next Wednesday.

That helps me so that I know what caseload I'm going to have to be directly responsible for.

I think it's fairly fair to say that even if you don't consent to my jurisdiction, whether you do or you don't, I don't see how the trial, the pretrial or trial conference could go on in this case just because it's going to be happening in the June or July time frame and if you don't consent, I don't see the summary judgment motion or the case dispositive motions being decided by anybody else by that time and I sincerely doubt I will have them decided

by that time in any event, so I wanted you to understand that before you filed any stipulations.

Stipulations should just be straightforward. I have mediated the UNUM Life Insurance case and I didn't know if the parties wanted me to be involved, if they wanted to be involved in mediation or just wanted me to serve as a trial judge.

MR. BLOOM: Your Honor, this is Tom Bloom. I think you know we have had some initial discussions with Ms. Ford at the beginning of this case about whether it could be resolved. And my sense, from my conversation with her many months ago, is that it can't.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BLOOM: And so, I mean we're not -- we're open to it if Ms. Ford wants to pursue it and Your Honor does, but we'd just as soon the Court decide the dispositive motion.

THE COURT: All right. Why don't we just leave that issue completely open then and maybe we'll address it later on. But obviously before I can decide any dispositive motions, I will need the parties consent, if that is what they want to do, to my jurisdiction and then you will need to file the stipulation. So I'm hoping that if I schedule it, say, get it filed by March 14th, is that a realistic possibility? I don't want to make it so short that you

can't get it done.

MR. BLOOM: I think so. The only thing is in the past, we have filed stipulations in the past and we had to use regular mail with Ms. Ford so it may take a few days extra.

THE COURT: Okay. Why don't we make it Friday,

March 16th to get it in by.

MR. BLOOM: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay?

MR. BLOOM: And I would add, having reviewed the file a little bit, the life insurance documents Ms. Ford requested, we actually served, it's a life insurance policy carried by UNUM, we served a subpoena on them, they produced their file, and we turned it over to Ms. Ford.

THE COURT: Okay. So you didn't have the life insurance policy in Christiana's possession?

MR. BLOOM: Right.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Ford, check and see if there is anything that remotely looks like that.

MS. FORD: Your Honor, they had been sending me information. What they sending me considered as the policy doesn't have my name on it. I think I filed that with the Court. They don't have my name on the policy. All the information as if you wanted to buy a policy with them. That's what I have here.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. But you are working from the assumption you had a life insurance policy with UNUM; right? I had a life insurance policy with MS. FORD: Christiana Care. Christiana Care had it with UNUM. That is who was taking the money off out of my check to pay. THE COURT: Okay. MS. FORD: I didn't directly pay UNUM myself. Christiana Care paid for it. They took money out of my check to pay for my insurance policy for 17 years. I don't know if it was for the whole 17 years but it was while I was working there. THE COURT: Okay. I understand. All right. Well, let's see what we can do as far as this case is concerned. If Christiana Care does not have custody and control of the policy, it may be more of an issue with UNUM than it may be with Christiana Care. MS. FORD: You're right, Your Honor. I've been trying to get it out of them as well. THE COURT: Yes, I know. MS. FORD: It's like -- never mind. THE COURT: All right. It's bad, that's all I know. It's MS. FORD: very bad. THE COURT: I understand. Okay. We'll move

forward on this case and I'll have a better idea of what to

Caste 1:06-cv-00301-MPT Document 87 Filed 05/27/2008 Page 17 of 17